MEASUREMENT OF CONSUMPTION INEQUALITY OF CEREALS IN MAJOR STATES OF INDIA

Mukesh*, Kamal Pandey** and Neha Srivastava***

ABSTRACT

Studying inequalities of income and consumption is a prerequisite for developing framework for narrowing them and achieving equitable and sustainable growth. In this paper, an attempt has been made to study the inequality of cereal consumption which persists in the major States between different consumption segments. Per capita consumption of cereals as a whole, as well as rice, wheat and coarse grain has been studied, separately making use of unit level data of the latest consumer expenditure survey conducted by the National Sample Survey Office, Government of India during the year 2011-2012. The ratios of per capita consumption of the richest households (top 10 per cent) with households belonging to the 'poorest' (10 per cent), the 'poor' (30 per cent) and the 'less advantaged half' (50 per cent) have been taken as a measure of consumption inequality. The study reveals that inequality, in terms of consumption of rice and wheat, exists in almost all major States in rural areas. The extent of inequality decreases as the base of the bottom population increases. The urban areas have either low levels of inequality or even reverse inequality of cereal consumption. Most of rural India has not been able to achieve equality of cereal consumption, despite focused nutrition intervention of targeted PDS.

Keywords: Per Capita, Cereal, Consumption, Inequality, Decile Class.

* Joint Director, Coordination and Publication Division, National Sample Survey Office, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India, New Delhi; mukesh.iss.goi@gmail.com **Deputy Director, Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation, Government of India, New Delhi; kamalpandey.iss@gmail.com

*** Joint Director, India Statistical Strengthening Project, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India, New Delhi; srivastavaneha19@gmail.com

Introduction

By virtue of globalisation and economic reforms/boom/growth, countries across the world are facing rise in income levels. As a result, domestic markets have become more integrated, competitive and consumer-friendly. With the availability of urban goods in rural areas, the consumption pattern of the people of India has undergone a radical change in both rural and urban India. Even, the per capita consumption of cereals has been declining despite rising monthly per capita consumption expenditure¹ (MPCE).

Human life is ultimately nourished and sustained by consumption (Vaidyanathan (1985), Reddy (2004), Pavithra (2009)). Studying inequalities in the consumption patterns (across MPCE classes) has always been of great interest to economists as it gives a good measure of existing standards of living. The same can also be studied using disposable income levels. However, according to Atkinson (1998), the consumption and inter-alia expenditure reflect 'long term' or 'permanent' income and is thus considered to be a better measure of economic well-being and respective inequalities. Similarly, Hasset and Mathur (2012) in their paper 'A new measure of consumption inequality' have also argued that income data are not the best measure of overall welfare. What matters for household is consumption. Consumption inequality when studied for food items, gives a composite measure of economic well-being, diet diversity and institutional interventions like food security. Therefore, the food consumption pattern of household is considered an important

measure of individual; welfare and well-being in any region.

Many researchers have studied consumption inequality across classes, castes and regions in terms of overall consumption as well as consumption of food and non-food items, separately. Study of consumption of non-food items is generally more relevant in the context of developed countries where the population spends substantially on education, health insurance as well as consumer durables. In the case of India, which is still in the nascent stage of development and where education and healthcare facilities are either free or heavily subsidised for the poor, studying consumption inequality in terms of food items may be more useful. In our present study, we have endeavoured to analyse cereal consumption across major States and studied consumption inequalities across MPCE classes using 68th round survey data of National Sample Survey Office.

National Sample Survey Office has been conducting quinquennial surveys on consumer expenditure since long and as per the latest round conducted during July, 2011 to June, 2012, the households still spend 52.9 per cent and 42.6 per cent of their monthly consumption expenditure on food items in rural and urban areas, respectively. The report also highlights that cereals continue to remain dominant in the food basket in both rural as well as urban India with a share of 10.7 and 6.6 per cent, respectively, in the total food share. The above, along with the fact that 'diet diversification' and 'eating out' are phenomena which are still elusive to majority of the population, signify the need of studying inequalities prevailing in consumption of cereals across the economic classes. The share of expenditure on food and beverages in the total expenditure has often been used to define the boundary points of economic classes; however, as this approach does not take into account the relative provisions of various goods and services and also the extent of their usage, it has not found many takers. Studying the pattern of consumption across the individual decile classes of consumption has also not been preferred much as the inequality reflected in such a study may defuse differences in way of incremental changes (Roy, 2011).

Thus, for this study, we have compared the per capita cereal consumption of bottom most populations (below 10 per cent, 30 per cent and 50 per cent) with the topmost class of consumption expenditure. As the poverty line is closer to the upper limit of the 3rd decile class of consumption (i.e. 30 per cent), comparison with the population below it may be of use. The three inequalities could thus reveal how the 'poorest' (below 10 per cent), the 'poor' (below 30 per cent) and the 'less advantaged half' (below 50 per cent) fare in comparison with the top 10 per cent of the population, i.e., the 'richest' in terms of consumption inequality of cereals.

Data and Methods

Data Source and Design: The household² consumer expenditure survey is one of the important socio-economic surveys of National Sample Survey (NSS) Office, used to collect

information on actual quantity and value of household's consumption separately for rural and urban areas in different States/UTs. The latest consumer expenditure survey is 68th round, conducted during July, 2011 to June, 2012 and covered the whole of the Indian Union. Detailed information about the total quantity consumption of 142 food items including cereals, 15 items of energy (fuel, light and household appliances), 28 items of clothing, bedding and footwear, 19 items of educational and medical expenses, 51 items of durable goods, and 89 other items has been taken at household level. The households' quantity consumption of cereal during last 30 days and within the cereals, the total quantity consumption of rice (including rice through PDS), wheat (including wheat through PDS) and coarse grains (including jawar, bajra, maize, ragi, small millets, barley and their products) has been recorded in the schedule of enquiry. The period of survey was one whole agricultural year, but to ensure better representation of survey throughout the year, the survey period was divided into four sub-rounds of three months. In each sub-round, equal numbers of sample villages and urban blocks was allotted. For the selection of samples, a stratified multistage design has been adopted in the survey. The first stage units were the 2001 census³ villages (Panchayat wards in case of Kerala) in the rural sector and Urban Frame Survey blocks in the urban sector. The ultimate stage units were households in both the sectors. In the case of large first stage units, one intermediate stage of sampling frame was done. (Please see detailed sampling design at Note 4).

Methodology

The entire methodology can be divided into two parts as follows:

- I. Methodology for calculation of monthly per capita quantity consumption.
- II. Methodology for calculation of consumption inequality.

Methodology for Calculation of Monthly Per Capita Quantity Consumption:

The Monthly Per Capita Quantity Consumption (MPCQC) of cereals has been calculated by the formula given below

$$MPCQC_i + \frac{C_i}{N_i}$$

Where

 $MPCQC_i = Monthly Per Capita Quantity Consumption of ith household$

 C_i = Total Quantity Consumption of ith household

N_i=Total members of ith household

With the above formula Monthly per Capita Quantity Consumption of cereals, rice, wheat and coarse grains has been calculated separately for individual rural and urban households and further the same has been calculated for the major States⁵ of India.

Methodology for Calculation of Consumption Inequality:

To get the quantity consumption of households for different economic segments, 10 decile classes of monthly per capita expenditure have been estimated separately for rural and urban areas of all major States of India and the same is given in Tables 6 and 7 of Annexures. Also with the above approach decile class-wise, Monthly per capita quantity consumption of cereals, rice, wheat and coarse grains has been calculated separately for the major States of rural and urban India.

The ratio of monthly per capita quantity consumption (MPCQC) of the households in the top decile class with those belonging to the bottom 10, 30 and 50 per cent of monthly per capita expenditure classes have been taken as a measure of consumption inequality. The formula to measure the consumption inequality is given below:

A ratio greater than 1 would indicate that inequality is present as the bottom classes would be consuming less. A ratio less than 1 would indicate presence of reverse inequality, a situation where the bottom classes are consuming more, the reasons for which could be many. The above formula has been used separately for cereals (including coarse grains), rice and wheat to calculate the measurement of consumption inequality for all major States as well as India and separately rural and urban areas.

Per Capita Consumption of Cereals in India

Per capita consumption of cereals in the major States is given in Table 1, separately for rural and urban areas. The same information for different decile classes in the major States is given in Tables 8 to 15 of Annexures. As may be seen, the per capita cereal consumption in urban areas is lesser as compared to the rural areas which is obvious given the less laborious and relatively sedentary lifestyle of urban areas as well as the fact that the phenomenon of 'diet diversification' and 'eating out' are more prominent in urban areas. The consumption of coarse grains is also negligible in urban areas; Gujarat, Karnataka, Maharashtra and Rajasthan are the only States where consumption of coarse grains is significantly visible. It may be noted that all the four States lie in the western half of India.

Major States		Ru	ural			Url	oan	
	Rice	Wheat	Coarse	Total	Rice	Wheat	Coarse	Total
			Grain	Cereal			Grain	Cereal
Jammu & Kashmir	8.13	3.39	0.82	12.34	7.60	3.29	0.06	10.95
Himachal Pradesh	4.39	6.43	0.92	11.75	3.82	5.81	0.12	9.75
Punjab	0.84	8.21	0.13	9.19	1.17	7.05	0.08	8.29
Uttarakhand	4.94	6.90	0.19	12.03	4.05	6.44	0.01	10.51
Haryana	0.72	8.55	0.11	9.38	1.06	7.20	0.03	8.29
Delhi	1.45	6.10	0.00	7.56	2.07	5.45	0.02	7.54
Rajasthan	0.24	9.27	2.25	11.76	0.58	8.96	0.29	9.83
Uttar Pradesh	4.06	7.16	0.06	11.29	2.84	6.54	0.01	9.39
Bihar	6.04	5.58	0.14	11.77	5.37	5.51	0.02	10.90
Assam	11.87	0.48	0.00	12.35	9.57	0.82	0.00	10.40
West Bengal	9.92	1.35	0.01	11.27	6.24	2.29	0.02	8.55
Jharkhand	8.84	2.67	0.09	11.60	5.72	4.46	0.02	10.19
Odisha	12.14	0.67	0.06	12.87	8.80	1.75	0.02	10.57
Chhattisgarh	11.25	0.87	0.08	12.21	8.70	2.13	0.00	10.84
Madhya Pradesh	2.19	8.48	0.67	11.35	1.88	7.85	0.09	9.82
Gujarat	2.04	3.71	2.83	8.58	1.83	4.98	0.70	7.52
Maharashtra	3.24	4.31	1.94	9.49	2.95	4.14	0.68	7.77
Andhra Pradesh	10.83	0.27	0.40	11.50	8.95	0.69	0.15	9.79
Karnataka	5.62	0.90	2.64	9.16	5.21	1.18	1.53	7.93
Kerala	7.24	0.74	0.01	7.98	6.74	0.87	0.01	7.62
Tamil Nadu	8.60	0.53	0.14	9.28	7.34	0.73	0.05	8.11
All India	5.98	4.29	0.65	10.91	4.49	4.01	0.29	8.79

Table 1: Monthly Per Capita Cereal Consumption (kg) in all Major States in India: 2011-12

A key finding which emerges here is that in the four out of five most developed States, as per HDI (UNDP, 2011), the per capita cereal consumption is the lowest. These States are Kerala, Punjab, Maharashtra and Haryana. The same is true for Karnataka, Tami Nadu and UT of Delhi also. On the other hand, the States of Chhattisgarh, Odisha, Bihar UP, Jharkhand and Rajasthan which were the least developed as per HDI (UNDP, 2011) are found to have the highest per capita cereal consumption. This may be due to the fact that with development a variety of goods/processed foods, etc., become available and dietary pattern shifts towards higher consumption of milk, fruitsand vegetables. Also as the disposable income of people increases, they tend to eat out more. The hilly States of Jammu & Kashmir, Uttarakhand and Himachal are found to have cereal consumption above all-India average. The Table also reflects the well-known fact that eastern and southern India prefer rice to wheat.

Findings and Discussions

Cereal Consumption Inequality (CCI):

Consumption inequality of cereals in the major States of India is given in Table 2, separately for rural and urban areas. In rural areas, in almost all cases, inequality in terms of cereal consumption is existent. The extent of inequality varies across States; however, it decreases as we broaden the bottom class of population. The extent of CCI in rural areas is highest in the three southern States of Tamil Nadu, Karnataka and Kerala. These States are followed by Gujarat, Jharkhand, Assam, Madhya Pradesh and Bihar. The States exhibiting the lowest levels of rural CCI are Delhi, Punjab, Himachal, Odisha, Uttarakhand and Haryana. Rajasthan, Jammu & Kashmir, West Bengal and Uttar Pradesh are the middle level States as far as CCI is concerned.

Major States		Rural			Urban	
	10:10	10:30	10:50	10:10	10:30	10:50
Jammu & Kashmir	1.26	1.15	1.11	1.16	1.08	1.04
Himachal Pradesh	1.15	1.13	1.09	0.80	0.83	0.80
Punjab	1.13	1.14	1.13	1.08	1.00	0.99
Uttarakhand	1.16	1.10	1.07	1.02	1.00	1.00
Haryana	1.18	1.08	1.07	0.83	0.82	0.84
Delhi	1.02	0.85	0.97	1.19	1.16	1.12
Rajasthan	1.29	1.24	1.21	1.05	1.01	0.99
Uttar Pradesh	1.18	1.15	1.13	0.94	0.94	0.93
Bihar	1.32	1.19	1.15	1.23	1.20	1.16
Assam	1.32	1.28	1.28	0.96	0.98	0.95
West Bengal	1.25	1.20	1.18	0.80	0.80	0.80
Jharkhand	1.36	1.25	1.21	1.03	1.01	0.99
Odisha	1.15	1.10	1.07	0.78	0.80	0.78
Chhattisgarh	1.26	1.16	1.10	0.99	0.91	0.91
Madhya Pradesh	1.32	1.25	1.20	0.97	0.95	0.93
Gujarat	1.36	1.24	1.18	0.99	0.97	0.96
Maharashtra	1.30	1.20	1.16	0.79	0.82	0.83
Andhra Pradesh	1.18	1.14	1.08	1.08	1.00	0.98
Karnataka	1.40	1.33	1.27	1.05	0.98	0.95
Kerala	1.40	1.30	1.23	1.20	1.13	1.10
Tamil Nadu	1.44	1.33	1.26	0.90	0.87	0.84
All India	1.09	1.05	1.04	0.86	0.86	0.86

Table 2: Consumption Inequality of Cereals in the Major States of India: 2011-12

Remarks: Cereals include rice, wheat and coarse grain.

When one tries to correlate the above findings with the levels of consumption through PDS (Table 5 and Tables 16 to 21 of Annexure), one can see that irrespective of the absolute levels of share of consumption through PDS, the States where the bottom classes consume relatively much more through PDS in comparison to the richest class exhibit lesser degree of CCI.

In case of urban areas, 11 out of 21 States exhibit the phenomenon of reverse inequality for all three comparison classes. In case of the remaining States which exhibit inequality (atleast for the poorest class), the levels of inequality are far less than those present in their rural areas. The States which exhibit the highest levels of urban CCI are Bihar, Kerala, Delhi and Jammu & Kashmir. The fact that either low levels of inequality or reverse inequality is present in urban areas somehow indicates that in urban areas, particularly the upper decile's consumption of cereals is less which may be due to the fact that they are more likely to have a diversified diet (including fruits, vegetables, milk, etc.), consume processed food and eat out than their rural counterparts who are still struggling to overcome inequality of consumption of basic food items, i.e., cereals.

Rice Consumption Inequality (RCI):

Consumption inequality of rice in the major States of India is given in Table 3, separately for rural and urban areas. As far as rural areas are concerned, one can see that the RCI is prevalent at high rates in wheat eating States like Rajasthan, Delhi and Haryana. This shows that in these States the relative consumption of the non-preferred foodgrain is much less amongst the poor classes. Madhya Pradesh exhibits the highest levels of reverse RCI. The other States which have reverse or low levels of RCI are Uttar Pradesh, Odisha, Maharashtra, etc. Now this may be expected also, as they are rice preferring States. In case of Odisha and Jharkhand, RCI is almost negligible in case of rural areas. On the other hand, in case of urban areas, strikingly reverse RCI is present, which may be due to the fact that in urban Odisha, the upper decile classes consume more wheat.

Major States		Rural			Urban	
	10:10	10:30	10:50	10:10	10:30	10:50
Jammu & Kashmir	1.62	1.25	1.07	1.00	0.90	0.88
Himachal Pradesh	1.10	1.13	1.08	0.67	0.68	0.65
Punjab	1.25	1.16	1.12	0.95	0.97	0.96
Uttarakhand	1.10	1.02	0.98	0.94	0.89	0.86
Haryana	2.36	1.99	1.87	1.05	1.12	1.01
Delhi	3.20	1.87	1.18	1.01	1.12	1.15
Rajasthan	3.66	2.95	2.84	2.21	2.09	1.92
Uttar Pradesh	0.92	0.95	0.97	0.69	0.76	0.79
Bihar	1.29	1.18	1.15	1.12	1.11	1.10
Assam	1.32	1.26	1.26	0.87	0.90	0.89
West Bengal	1.17	1.14	1.11	0.69	0.72	0.74
						(Contd)

 Table 3: Consumption Inequality of Rice in the Major States of India: 2011-12

		Table 3 (Co	ntd)			
Jharkhand	1.13	1.04	1.01	0.77	0.83	0.86
Odisha	1.02	0.98	0.96	0.53	0.54	0.54
Chhattisgarh	1.14	1.04	1.00	0.78	0.70	0.72
Madhya Pradesh	0.54	0.58	0.61	0.89	0.99	1.04
Gujarat	1.26	1.22	1.11	0.92	0.96	0.96
Maharashtra	1.05	1.11	1.09	0.96	0.88	0.89
Andhra Pradesh	1.20	1.14	1.09	0.98	0.92	0.90
Karnataka	1.81	1.60	1.49	1.11	1.00	0.94
Kerala	1.32	1.23	1.18	1.09	1.04	1.02
Tamil Nadu	1.41	1.29	1.22	0.82	0.80	0.77
All India	0.96	0.96	0.97	0.79	0.80	0.80

The rice preferring States of Maharashtra, West Bengal and Tamil Nadu also present the case of reverse RCI in urban areas due to two facts: upper decile classes consume less in terms of overall cereal consumption and they consume wheat more as compared to the lower and middle decile classes. Assam, though being a rice eating State, exhibits high levels of RCI in rural areas. When one looks at its share of consumption through PDS (Table 16 of Annexure), it turns out that even the poorest class is consuming less than 30 per cent of its share of rice through PDS, which is disturbing as it is a rice preferring State. In case of urban areas, barring Rajasthan, almost all States exhibit either reverse or very low levels of RCI. This shows that in urban Rajasthan, the consumption of rice by even the bottom decile class is very low. The same is also seen in Table 13 of Annexure. At all-India level also, one can see that for both rural as well as urban India reverse RCI is present, though the degree of reversal in urban areas is more than that in rural areas. This reflects that somehow the poor are more likely to consume rice than wheat. This may be due to the concentration of poor in rice eating States, the relatively better availability of rice through PDS, the relatively lesser price of rice in open market or its more consumption on account of home production.

Wheat Consumption Inequality (WCI):

Consumption inequality of wheat in the major States of India is given in Table 4, separately for rural and urban areas. WCI is present at strikingly high levels in Odisha. The other States exhibiting high levels of WCI are Chhattisgarh, Andhra Pradesh, Jharkhand and Kerala. For Kerala and Andhra Pradesh, carefully as this occurs because of the fact that quantity of wheat consumed is low in higher decile classes and almost negligible in lower decile classes. Also for urban areas, unlike RCI which was negligible in most cases, WCI is present at substantially higher levels. The extent of decrease from rural to urban is also less or absent. Andhra Pradesh and Assam even exhibit a higher level of WCI in urban areas, which may be due to the fact that in both these States in urban areas, consumption of wheat through PDS is almost negligible for the lowest decile class.

Only three States - Maharashtra, Haryana and Himachal Pradesh - exhibit reverse WCI in urban areas. When one correlates this with per capita consumption of wheat, it comes out as obvious as the urban lower decile classes of these States consume wheat at par with their higher decile counterparts. They also consume relatively higher share of wheat through PDS than most of the other States.

Major States		Rural			Urban	
	10:10	10:30	10:50	10:10	10:30	10:50
Jammu & Kashmir	1.13	1.24	1.42	1.56	1.59	1.48
Himachal Pradesh	1.14	1.11	1.08	0.89	0.94	0.91
Punjab	1.10	1.11	1.11	1.08	0.99	0.97
Uttarakhand	1.23	1.20	1.18	1.05	1.05	1.07
Haryana	1.09	1.01	1.00	0.80	0.79	0.82
Delhi	0.83	0.72	0.92	1.27	1.17	1.10
Rajasthan	1.36	1.29	1.29	1.02	0.96	0.95
Uttar Pradesh	1.38	1.28	1.24	1.09	1.03	0.99
Bihar	1.35	1.20	1.15	1.36	1.30	1.22
Assam	1.33	1.94	1.86	3.50	2.31	2.06
West Bengal	1.95	1.70	1.68	1.16	0.97	0.95
Jharkhand	2.53	2.15	1.98	1.62	1.32	1.20
Odisha	15.25	7.29	5.45	4.25	3.82	3.19
Chhattisgarh	3.63	3.80	2.97	2.32	2.36	2.13
Madhya Pradesh	1.74	1.52	1.43	1.04	0.96	0.92
Gujarat	2.14	1.98	1.83	1.17	1.06	1.03
Maharashtra	1.62	1.30	1.22	0.80	0.86	0.86
Andhra Pradesh	3.16	2.29	2.10	4.47	3.01	2.36
Karnataka	0.98	0.93	0.92	1.81	1.63	1.58
Kerala	2.40	2.10	1.87	2.40	1.97	1.84
Tamil Nadu	1.75	1.84	1.81	2.02	1.77	1.64
All India	1.20	1.13	1.10	0.93	0.92	0.94

 Table 4: Consumption Inequality of Wheat in the Major States of India: 2011-12

In case of Gujarat, it was seen that RCI was almost absent; however, WCI is present at higher levels, particularly in rural areas. In Gujarat the share of consumption through PDS is low, as well as overall cereal consumption is also low. On careful analysis, one finds that across the decile classes, the consumption of coarse grains is substantially high almost at par with consumption of rice and wheat, individually. In rural areas, it is even higher than consumption of rice and wheat. Since PDS essentially offers only rice and wheat, it results in low share of PDS in the overall consumption even amongst the lower decile classes of rural Gujarat.

Share of PDS in Consumption

Table 5 gives the share of PDS in per capita consumption of rice and wheat for all India and 21 major States. The information about different decile classes of consumption are given in Tables 16 to 21 of Annexures.

States		Rural			Urban	
	Rice	Wheat	Rice & Wheat	Rice	Wheat	Rice & Wheat
Jammu & Kashmir	35.57	13.34	48.91	40.04	14.16	54.20
Himachal Pradesh	17.67	27.05	44.72	10.32	20.26	30.59
Punjab	0.01	12.56	12.57	0.03	4.62	4.65
Uttarakhand	15.19	14.02	29.20	5.77	8.04	13.81
Haryana	0.12	13.12	13.24	0.02	8.23	8.25
Delhi	0.90	6.60	7.50	1.61	6.16	7.77
Rajasthan	0.05	11.30	11.34	0.08	7.28	7.36
Uttar Pradesh	7.72	5.90	13.62	2.68	4.91	7.59
Bihar	11.22	8.35	19.57	5.34	3.80	9.14
Assam	23.57	0.35	23.92	11.02	0.09	11.11
West Bengal	8.42	5.40	13.82	4.33	4.46	8.79
Jharkhand	17.71	0.19	17.90	3.63	0.24	3.87
Odisha	28.34	1.30	29.64	12.94	3.13	16.07
Chhattisgarh	35.35	2.96	38.31	23.76	5.19	28.96
Madhya Pradesh	4.13	13.27	17.40	2.33	11.39	13.72
Gujarat	3.96	7.79	11.75	0.93	2.24	3.16
Maharashtra	11.89	13.78	25.67	2.55	4.19	6.75
Andhra Pradesh	30.61	0.33	30.94	20.32	0.50	20.83
Karnataka	27.77	4.72	32.49	16.55	2.83	19.37
Kerala	32.20	5.16	37.36	26.53	4.54	31.07
Tamil Nadu	48.87	4.70	53.58	39.06	5.44	44.51
All India	15.30	6.82	22.12	10.04	4.62	14.66

Table 5: Percentage Share of Per Capita Consumption of Rice, Wheat and Both (through PDS) to Total Cereal Consumption: 2011-12

In Table 5, it can be seen that the States with the highest percentage share of consumption of rice and wheat (combined) through PDS are Tamil Nadu, Jammu & Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Kerala, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Odisha and Uttarakhand. The share of PDS rice in most wheat eating States of Punjab, Haryana, Delhi and Rajasthan is less. Similarly, the share of PDS wheat in most rice eating States (Odisha and four southern States) is less which is obvious also. The hilly States of Jammu & Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand, the central States of Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, West Bengal and Maharashtra have significant share of both rice and wheat in PDS. Delhi consumes less through PDS and so do Rajasthan and Gujarat. In case of Rajasthan and Gujarat, this may be due to higher consumption of coarse foodgrains (Reference Table 1). Except for Jammu & Kashmir all other States depict relatively higher share of PDS for rural areas than urban areas. Though urban Delhi's PDS share is also marginally higher than rural Delhi's, the same may be due to negligible area of rural Delhi. In urban areas, share of Punjab, Gujarat and Jharkhand was below 5 per cent and that of Haryana, Delhi, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal and Maharashtra was below 10 per cent indicating strong need for coverage improvement.

Conclusion

Governments in India have always focused on nutrition programmes like targeted PDS, food for work, etc., for ensuring foodgrain security. Study of consumption inequality in terms of foodgrain consumption is useful in India's context and forms the premises of this paper. The ratios of per capita consumption of the richest households (top 10 per cent) with households belonging to the 'poorest' (10 per cent), the 'poor' (30 per cent) and the 'less advantaged half' (50 per cent) have been taken as a measure of consumption inequality.

The findings of the paper reveal that inequality in terms of consumption of rice and wheat exists in almost all major States in rural areas. The extent of inequality decreases as the base of the bottom population increases. The urban areas have either low levels of inequality or even reverse inequality of cereal consumption. Most of rural India has not been able to achieve equality of cereal consumption, despite focused nutrition intervention of targeted PDS. A transition in the preference (dietary changes/nutrition transition) with increase in income levels is also observed. For example, in rice preferring/eating States, the consumption of wheat is found to be increased marginally in the upper decile classes. Similarly, in wheat preferring/eating States, the consumption of rice is found to be increased marginally in the upper decile classes for wheat. The share of PDS of rice in wheat preferring/eating States and the share of PDS of wheat in rice preferring/eating States is observed to be less significant.

The share of PDS in cereal consumption for Delhi and urban areas of Punjab, Gujarat, Jharkhand, Haryana, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal and Maharashtra is less than 10 per cent and has a lot of scope for increase. For urban areas, RCI is almost absent, however, WCI is present at relatively higher levels. This reflects that the consumption of rice amongst the poor is on an average higher than that of wheat although, in most of the rice preferring/ eating States in urban areas, the upper decile class has significant consumption of wheat.This somehow reflects that the well-off section of the population tends to increase its consumption of wheat.

Thus, it can be concluded that despite being able to manage inter-year production fluctuations, India has still a lot to do in terms of achieving equality of foodgrain consumption and nutrition transition.

S
Qj
Ξ.
2
2
W
~

2																	N	luk	esh	, Ka	ma	l Pa	inde	ey ar	1
		10	>2637	>3168	>3703	>2717	>3503	>4472	>2367	>1800	>1690	>1855	>1950	>1437	>1540	>1517	>1824	>2402	>2487	>2628	>2459	>4229	>2822	>2296	
		6	2160-2637	2491-3168	2946-3703	2168-2717	2818-3503	4439-4472	1914-2367	1421-1800	1395-1690	1519-1855	1574-1950	1205-1437	1254-1540	1277-1517	1468-1824	1924-2402	1960-2487	2150-2628	18402459	3135-4229	2151-2822	1793-2296	
jor States)		8	1918-2160	2140-2491	2527-2946	1853-2168	2444-2818	2794-4439	1722-1914	1233-1421	1219-1395	1316-1519	1365-1574	1098-1205	1095-1254	1087-1277	1230-1468	1644-1924	1691-1960	1870-2150	1591-1840	2595-3135	1824-2151	1522-1793	
2011-12 (Ma		7	1713-1918	1908-2140	2244-2527	1639-1853	2111-2444	2342-2794	1532-1722	1111-1233	1123-1219	1209-1316	1247-1365	1015-1098	980-1095	978-1087	1106-1230	1450-1644	1526-1691	1669-1870	1462-1591	2309-2595	1615-1824	1341-1522	
Expenditure:	(Rural)	9	1539-1713	1697-1908	1975-2244	1450-1639	1884-2111	2342-2342	1406-1532	1004-1111	1032-1123	1079-1209	1138-1247	937-1015	891-980	918-978	987-1106	1334-1450	1383-1526	1521-1669	1320-1462	1995-2309	1442-1615	1198-1341	
onsumption	ecile Classes (5	1422-1539	1512-1697	1749-1975	1326-1450	1710-1884	2134-2342	1289-1406	906-1004	970-1032	995-1079	1029-1138	843-937	814-891	840-918	888-987	1207-1334	1271-1383	1395-1521	1202-1320	1736-1995	1313-1442	1075-1198	
y Per Capita (4	1275-1422	1368-1512	1565-1749	1238-1326	1501-1710	1955-2134	1159-1289	829-906	884-970	915-995	934-1029	784-843	748-814	769-840	807-888	1089-1207	1165-1271	1259-1395	1113-1202	1526-1736	1163-1313	963-1075	
es of Monthly		ſ	1159-1275	1211-1368	1379-1565	1106-1238	1309-1501	1900-1955	1022-1159	744-829	799-884	812-915	839-934	698-784	653-748	677-769	706-807	994-1089	1049-1165	1135-1259	978-1113	1327-1526	1003-1163	845-963	
Decile Class ו		2	988-1159	1028-1211	1178-1379	988-1106	1092-1309	1304-1900	879-1022	638-744	687-799	702-812	745-839	613-698	559-653	588-677	576-706	864-994	906-1049	983-1135	850-978	1104-1327	824-1003	710-845	
Table 6: Tei		-	0-988	0-1028	0-1178	0-988	0-1092	0-1304	0-879	0-638	0-687	0-702	0-745	0-613	0-559	0-588	0-576	0-864	906-0	0-983	0-850	0-1104	0-824	0-710	
	Major States		Jammu & Kashmir	Himachal Pradesh	Punjab	Uttarakhand	Haryana	Delhi	Rajasthan	Uttar Pradesh	Bihar	Assam	West Bengal	Jharkhand	Odisha	Chhattisgarh	Madhya Pradesh	Gujarat	Maharashtra	Andhra Pradesh	Karnataka	Kerala	Tamil Nadu	All India	

Mukesh, Kamal Pandey and Neha Srivastava

Table 7: Ten Decile Classes of Monthly Per Capita Consumption Expenditure: 2011-12 (Major States)	

								·		
Major States					Decile Clas.	ses (Urban)				
	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	0-1115	1115-1356	1356-1605	1605-1835	1835-2034	2034-2363	2363-2759	2759-3269	3269-4213	>4213
Himachal Pradesh	0-1455	1455-1872	1872-2187	2187-2480	2480-2717	2717-3101	3101-3661	3661-4402	4402-5579	>5579
Punjab	0-1257	1257-1519	1519-1785	1785-2005	2005-2263	2263-2573	2573-3105	3105-3653	3653-4599	>4599
Uttarakhand	0-1021	1021-1243	1243-1418	1418-1746	1746-1962	1962-2251	2251-2542	2542-3107	3107-4047	>4047
Haryana	0-1384	1384-1735	1735-1989	1989-2274	2274-2632	2632-3036	3036-3493	3493-4419	4419-7818	>7815
Delhi	0-1319	1319-1656	1656-1904	1904-2148	2148-2454	2454-2867	2867-3525	3525-4337	4337-6431	>6431
Rajasthan	0-1087	1087-1347	1347-1538	1538-1745	1745-1974	1974-2221	2221-2457	2457-3039	3039-4156	>4156
Uttar Pradesh	0-780	780-936	936-1094	1094-1230	1230-1428	1428-1690	1690-2013	2013-2534	2534-3662	>3662
Bihar	0-705	705-868	868-971	971-1111	1111-1217	1217-1375	1375-1643	1643-1996	1996-2626	>2626
Assam	0-867	867-1062	1062-1326	1326-1546	1546-1826	1826-2079	2079-2292	2292-2933	2933-3905	>3905
West Bengal	0-943	943-1165	1165-1403	1403-1693	1693-1953	1953-2291	2291-2703	2703-3440	3440-4762	>4762
Jharkhand	0-833	833-1055	1055-1233	1233-1475	1475-1760	1760-1987	1987-2231	2231-2700	2700-3371	>3371
Odisha	0-755	755-907	907-1146	1146-1271	1271-1412	1412-1727	1727-2114	2114-2649	2649-3751	>3751
Chhattisgarh	0-700	700-863	863-1046	1046-1182	1182-1393	1393-1686	1686-1974	1974-2464	2464-3425	>3425
Madhya Pradesh	0-812	812-962	962-1150	1150-1310	1310-1545	1545-1796	1796-2149	2149-2681	2681-3845	>3845
Gujarat	0-1198	1198-1449	1449-1720	1720-1972	1972-2268	2268-2486	2486-2871	2871-3265	3265-4134	>4134
Maharashtra	0-1335	1335-1652	1652-1865	1865-2131	2131-2432	2432-2769	2769-3254	3254-3907	3907-5384	>5384
Andhra Pradesh	0-1180	1180-1509	1509-1725	1725-1963	1963-2219	2219-2539	2539-2976	2976-3600	3600-4365	>4365
Karnataka	0-1025	1025-1274	1274-1498	1498-1806	1806-2222	2222-2673	2673-3160	3160-3580	3580-3594	>3594
Kerala	0-1238	1238-1467	1467-1735	1735-1989	1989-2366	2366-2712	2712-3226	3226-4089	4089-5865	>5865
Tamil Nadu	0-1121	1121-1372	1372-1609	1609-1857	1857-2064	2064-2402	2402-2803	2803-3411	3411-4588	>4588
All India	0-983	983-1239	1239-1490	1490-1757	1757-2019	2019-2349	2349-2771	2771-3390	3390-4610	>4610

Table 8: Decile C	lass-wise	Monthly P€	er capita Ce	ereals Con	sumption	(kg) in all l	Major State	s of India:	2011-12 ((ural)
Major States					Decile	Class				
	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	10.27	11.60	11.71	12.01	12.70	12.86	12.85	13.37	13.07	12.91
Himachal Pradesh	10.92	10.90	11.62	11.81	12.17	11.75	12.39	12.00	11.34	12.57
Punjab	8.73	8.60	8.82	9.13	8.68	8.93	9.05	9.85	10.17	9.91
Uttarakhand	10.96	11.73	11.97	12.04	12.67	12.35	12.56	12.22	11.00	12.76
Haryana	8.19	9.07	9.47	9.28	9.26	9.46	9.40	9.90	10.10	9.67
Delhi	7.79	10.27	10.00	6.89	6.98	00.0	7.84	7.67	5.44	7.92
Rajasthan	10.42	11.04	11.14	11.40	11.76	11.79	12.28	12.03	12.29	13.46
Uttar Pradesh	10.41	10.89	10.92	10.89	11.42	11.34	11.24	11.73	11.71	12.32
Bihar	9.79	11.03	11.76	11.66	11.70	12.05	11.83	12.44	12.56	12.88
Assam	11.24	11.08	12.31	11.39	11.83	12.10	13.24	12.51	12.96	14.83
West Bengal	10.12	10.87	10.52	10.82	11.45	11.34	11.48	11.52	11.97	12.65
Jharkhand	9.63	11.40	10.60	11.29	11.50	12.39	12.34	11.88	11.88	13.12
Odisha	11.55	12.18	12.45	12.85	12.81	13.65	12.85	13.46	13.67	13.29
Chhattisgarh	10.22	11.04	12.03	12.28	12.65	12.55	12.17	12.81	13.53	12.83
Madhya Pradesh	9.67	10.69	10.44	10.89	11.76	11.25	11.86	12.05	12.07	12.80
Gujarat	7.20	8.18	8.41	8.97	8.72	7.95	8.16	9.42	8.96	9.83
Maharashtra	8.04	9.09	9.08	9.43	9.52	9.68	9.40	10.06	10.11	10.47
Andhra Pradesh	10.06	10.21	11.13	11.52	11.87	11.58	12.26	12.21	12.29	11.88
Karnataka	7.66	7.71	8.87	8.95	9.13	9.30	9.80	9.31	10.13	10.72
Kerala	6.55	7.13	7.55	7.83	8.06	8.28	7.84	8.60	8.83	9.17
Tamil Nadu	7.48	8.23	8.61	8.99	9.50	9.15	9.95	9.76	10.38	10.75
All India	10.21	10.81	10.90	10.87	10.92	11.03	10.99	11.11	11.15	11.14

Mukesh, Kamal Pandey and Neha Srivastava

Journal of Rural Development, Vol. 38, No. 1, January - March : 2019

14

1 9 • ü 2 • 1 4 ċ ġ ò ł t ì Ć Ċ -4 ĥ

			ו כו כמלווימ ו				יושוים שומו		1 1 - 1 Z	
Major States					Decile	Class				
	-	2	ĸ	4	5	9	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	4.85	6.41	7.57	8.38	9.48	9.54	9.07	9.31	8.82	7.86
Himachal Pradesh	4.29	3.83	4.35	4.51	4.75	4.30	4.71	4.39	4.06	4.71
Punjab	0.65	0.71	0.74	0.75	0.77	0.98	0.99	1.12	0.92	0.82
Uttarakhand	4.54	4.84	5.31	4.98	5.83	4.69	5.31	4.54	4.40	4.99
Haryana	0.48	0.65	0.58	0.61	0.71	0.47	0.74	0.99	0.80	1.13
Delhi	0.61	1.18	2.00	2.51	2.13	0.00	1.09	0.85	1.06	1.95
Rajasthan	0.14	0.19	0.20	0.17	0.22	0.17	0.21	0.24	0.27	0.53
Uttar Pradesh	4.39	4.19	4.18	4.06	4.10	4.17	3.84	3.91	3.75	4.04
Bihar	5.17	5.80	6.03	5.90	6.06	5.98	5.96	6.37	6.50	6.66
Assam	10.65	10.77	11.99	10.93	11.39	11.73	12.65	12.06	12.42	14.04
West Bengal	9.10	9.60	9.30	9.63	10.22	10.15	10.10	10.09	10.38	10.66
Jharkhand	7.79	9.49	8.11	8.74	9.21	9.38	9.18	9.04	8.69	8.78
Odisha	11.21	11.88	12.02	12.50	12.19	13.00	12.17	12.50	12.46	11.48
Chhattisgarh	9.61	10.45	11.47	11.31	11.77	11.49	11.22	11.82	12.41	10.95
Madhya Pradesh	2.97	3.05	2.29	2.25	2.65	2.00	1.86	1.80	1.50	1.60
Gujarat	1.89	1.93	2.04	2.32	2.54	1.56	1.85	1.80	2.09	2.38
Maharashtra	3.43	3.10	3.18	3.32	3.50	3.30	2.94	3.02	2.99	3.60
Andhra Pradesh	9.29	9.39	10.71	10.89	11.07	10.93	11.75	11.60	11.52	11.16
Karnataka	4.06	4.15	5.55	5.15	5.71	5.90	6.07	5.66	6.62	7.35
Kerala	6.07	6.58	6.94	7.18	7.28	7.53	7.10	7.72	7.96	8.02
Tamil Nadu	6.92	7.65	8.07	8.43	8.90	8.55	9.25	8.96	9.61	9.74
All India	60.9	6.21	6.13	5.99	5.88	6.03	5.90	5.85	5.83	5.86

Measurement of Consumption Inequality of Cereals in Major States of India

15

Table 10: Decile C	lass-wise	Monthly P	er Capita M	/heat Con	sumption (kg) in All N	lajor State	es of India:	2011-12 ((Rural)
Major States					Decile	Class				
	-	2	ŝ	4	5	6	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	4.06	4.04	2.96	2.55	2.60	2.75	3.00	3.57	3.74	4.59
Himachal Pradesh	5.96	5.98	6.40	6.37	6.52	6.42	6.68	6.79	6.44	6.78
Punjab	8.05	7.83	7.98	8.32	7.81	7.77	7.95	8.48	9.02	8.86
Uttarakhand	6.27	6.74	6.26	6.75	6.58	7.45	7.12	7.53	6.54	7.70
Haryana	7.64	8.33	8.85	8.60	8.45	8.81	8.51	8.81	9.18	8.34
Delhi	7.18	9.09	8.00	4.38	4.85	0.00	6.74	6.81	4.38	5.94
Rajasthan	8.11	8.86	8.59	8.44	8.74	9.55	9.36	10.16	9.91	11.03
Uttar Pradesh	5.97	6.67	6.63	6.74	7.25	7.11	7.36	7.74	7.88	8.24
Bihar	4.51	5.16	5.64	5.64	5.52	5.87	5.59	5.91	5.89	6.11
Assam	0.59	0.31	0.32	0.46	0.43	0.37	0.59	0.45	0.51	0.79
West Bengal	1.02	1.26	1.22	1.19	1.21	1.19	1.38	1.43	1.58	1.98
Jharkhand	1.66	1.83	2.38	2.52	2.22	2.87	3.10	2.77	3.15	4.20
Odisha	0.12	0.22	0.40	0.32	0.57	0.62	0.62	0.89	1.18	1.78
Chhattisgarh	0.50	0.49	0.44	0.90	0.73	0.95	0.91	0.96	1.07	1.81
Madhya Pradesh	6.01	7.09	7.63	7.95	7.87	8.67	9.59	9.30	10.23	10.48
Gujarat	2.54	3.04	2.65	3.39	3.17	4.23	3.56	4.46	4.58	5.43
Maharashtra	2.97	4.07	4.01	4.25	4.34	4.38	4.55	4.84	4.92	4.80
Andhra Pradesh	0.14	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.22	0.31	0.31	0.36	0.45
Karnataka	0.85	0.93	0.89	1.02	0.84	06.0	0.87	0.88	0.96	0.83
Kerala	0.47	0.55	0.61	0.65	0.77	0.74	0.74	0.86	0.85	1.14
Tamil Nadu	0.46	0.44	0.40	0.41	0.50	0.47	0.56	0.61	0.64	0.80
All India	3.86	4.22	4.19	4.25	4.38	4.19	4.23	4.41	4.53	4.62

Journal of Rural Development, Vol. 38, No. 1, January - March : 2019

16

4 9 6 • t 2 2 • 1 ġ ċ Ċ t L C 2 t ì 2 È

lable. I I: Declie Clas	s-wise mor	vuny Per C	apita Coar		onsumpri	on (kg) in A	in major in	cates of ind	ala: 2011-1	z (Kurai)
Major States					Decile	Class				
	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	1.36	1.15	1.18	1.09	0.62	0.56	0.78	0.49	0.51	0.46
Himachal Pradesh	0.67	1.08	0.87	0.92	0.89	1.03	1.00	0.82	0.85	1.08
Punjab	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.05	0.10	0.17	0.11	0.25	0.23	0.24
Uttarakhand	0.15	0.15	0.40	0.32	0.27	0.21	0.13	0.16	0.07	0.06
Haryana	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.18	0.15	0.09	0.13	0.20
Delhi	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.03
Rajasthan	2.16	1.98	2.35	2.79	2.80	2.07	2.72	1.63	2.10	1.90
Uttar Pradesh	0.05	0.03	0.11	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.08	0.08	0.04
Bihar	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.13	0.20	0.28	0.16	0.17	0.11
Assam	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00
West Bengal	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01
Jharkhand	0.18	0.09	0.10	0.03	0.08	0.14	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.14
Odisha	0.22	0.08	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.03
Chhattisgarh	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.07	0.14	0.11	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.07
Madhya Pradesh	0.69	0.55	0.52	0.69	1.24	0.58	0.41	0.95	0.33	0.71
Gujarat	2.77	3.21	3.72	3.26	3.01	2.16	2.74	3.15	2.28	2.01
Maharashtra	1.64	1.91	1.89	1.85	1.68	2.01	1.91	2.21	2.21	2.07
Andhra Pradesh	0.62	0.60	0.20	0.40	0.55	0.42	0.20	0.29	0.41	0.27
Karnataka	2.76	2.63	2.44	2.78	2.58	2.51	2.86	2.76	2.55	2.54
Kerala	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01
Tamil Nadu	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.16	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.19	0.12	0.21
All India	0.27	0.38	0.58	0.62	0.66	0.82	0.86	0.84	0.79	0.67

Measurement of Consumption Inequality of Cereals in Major States of India

Table 12: Decile C	lass-wise	Monthly Pe	er Capita Ce	ereals Cons	sumption	(kg) in All I	Major Stat o	es of India:	2011-12(Urban)
Major States					Decile	e Class				
	1	2	£	4	Ŋ	9	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	9.63	10.52	10.90	11.47	11.15	11.38	11.68	10.81	10.81	11.21
Himachal Pradesh	9.74	9.85	8.58	9.52	11.32	11.28	10.43	8.76	10.07	7.83
Punjab	7.51	8.56	8.20	8.37	8.46	8.30	8.28	8.55	8.58	8.11
Uttarakhand	9.97	10.41	10.23	10.43	9.82	11.25	11.43	11.28	10.00	10.16
Haryana	8.51	8.49	8.57	8.01	8.32	8.48	8.50	8.60	8.37	7.03
Delhi	6.95	7.06	7.34	7.49	8.07	7.14	7.78	7.63	7.68	8.26
Rajasthan	9.32	9.58	10.25	9.71	10.53	9.62	9.73	10.12	9.71	9.76
Uttar Pradesh	9.41	9.44	9.57	9.63	9.81	9.38	9.21	9.64	8.97	8.88
Bihar	9.68	9.58	10.50	10.59	10.84	11.24	12.04	11.57	11.07	11.88
Assam	10.41	10.45	9.94	10.62	11.05	10.50	10.63	10.40	10.09	10.00
West Bengal	8.96	8.87	9.27	9.05	8.50	8.69	8.40	8.19	8.34	7.19
Jharkhand	9.77	10.16	9.97	9.96	10.88	10.40	9.99	10.27	10.50	10.05
Odisha	11.19	11.50	10.34	11.29	11.65	11.23	9.80	10.69	9.18	8.78
Chhattisgarh	10.06	10.93	11.82	11.19	10.47	10.44	11.62	10.27	11.62	9.95
Madhya Pradesh	9.28	9.17	10.01	10.05	10.04	10.08	10.17	10.18	10.17	9.03
Gujarat	7.33	7.15	8.01	7.49	7.98	7.27	7.13	7.54	8.02	7.27
Maharashtra	8.31	8.13	7.67	7.91	7.82	7.89	8.08	7.82	7.45	6.60
Andhra Pradesh	8.87	9.67	10.19	10.09	10.34	10.10	10.25	9.49	9.30	9.61
Karnataka	7.05	7.79	7.87	8.12	8.24	8.56	8.62	8.22	7.37	7.40
Kerala	6.74	7.18	7.53	7.78	7.55	7.99	7.87	7.58	7.91	8.07
Tamil Nadu	7.73	8.16	8.07	8.49	8.72	8.83	7.98	8.34	7.84	6.93
All India	9.09	9.14	9.11	9.03	8.84	9.02	8.64	8.68	8.49	7.82

Journal of Rural Development, Vol. 38, No. 1, January - March : 2019

18

Ē
_
n
0
Ξ.
2
\mathbf{n}
<u> </u>
_
_
5
9
\mathbf{n}
••
n
•
σ
_
1
5
•
S
U U
-
D
.
S
<u>.</u>
ō
·
, a
~
2
_
<
-
•
S
×
$\mathbf{}$
-
-
O
-
_
_
2
ISU
nsu
nsuo
Consu
Consu
e Consu
ce Consu
lice Consu
Rice Consu
a Rice Consu
ta Rice Consu
ita Rice Consu
pita Rice Consu
apita Rice Consu
Capita Rice Consu
۰ Capita Rice Consu
er Capita Rice Consu
er Capita Rice Consu
Per Capita Rice Consu
y Per Capita Rice Consu
ly Per Capita Rice Consu
hly Per Capita Rice Consu
thly Per Capita Rice Consu
nthly Per Capita Rice Consu
onthly Per Capita Rice Consu
lonthly Per Capita Rice Consu
Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
ዓ Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
se Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
ise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
vise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
۶-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
ss-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
ass-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
lass-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
le Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
ile Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
cile Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
ecile Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
Decile Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
Decile Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
:: Decile Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
3: Decile Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
13: Decile Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
e 13: Decile Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
le 13: Decile Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
ble 13: Decile Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
able 13: Decile Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu
Table 13: Decile Class-wise Monthly Per Capita Rice Consu

Table 13: Decile	Class-wise	e Monthly	Per Capita I	Rice Consu	mption (k	g) in All Ma	ijor States	of India: 2	011-12 (Ur	ban)
Major States					Decile	Class				
	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	6.86	7.26	8.72	8.24	7.98	7.95	8.52	7.24	6.38	6.87
Himachal Pradesh	3.88	4.35	3.40	3.72	5.01	4.46	4.10	3.30	3.43	2.62
Punjab	1.22	1.25	1.09	1.48	0.99	1.01	1.08	1.33	1.06	1.16
Uttarakhand	3.60	4.27	3.68	3.91	4.29	4.78	4.41	4.67	3.54	3.40
Haryana	0.96	0.69	1.07	1.09	1.20	1.11	1.07	1.11	1.30	1.02
Delhi	2.32	1.91	2.04	1.94	1.96	1.95	2.07	2.06	2.15	2.34
Rajasthan	0.39	0.32	0.53	0.44	0.59	0.59	0.83	0.63	0.63	0.87
Uttar Pradesh	3.45	3.15	2.82	2.73	2.86	2.96	2.62	2.68	2.70	2.37
Bihar	5.08	4.85	5.37	5.26	5.08	5.74	5.93	5.49	5.18	5.67
Assam	10.04	9.96	9.19	10.05	10.20	9.36	9.78	9.48	9.11	8.73
West Bengal	7.08	6.49	6.84	6.59	6.21	6.41	5.87	5.96	6.06	4.91
Jharkhand	6.83	6.55	5.72	5.80	5.92	5.63	5.37	4.92	5.14	5.28
Odisha	10.39	10.57	9.38	10.23	10.13	8.98	7.87	8.51	6.42	5.51
Chhattisgarh	8.69	10.04	10.03	10.06	8.20	8.51	9.46	7.49	7.69	6.76
Madhya Pradesh	2.23	1.97	1.86	1.77	1.77	1.43	1.80	2.03	1.91	1.99
Gujarat	2.01	1.91	1.82	1.85	2.00	1.59	1.63	1.87	1.82	1.85
Maharashtra	2.66	2.88	3.16	2.98	2.74	3.10	3.37	3.03	2.99	2.56
Andhra Pradesh	8.47	9.06	9.61	9.44	9.46	9.10	9.22	8.60	8.25	8.31
Karnataka	4.34	5.05	5.07	5.27	5.68	5.85	5.58	5.68	5.48	4.80
Kerala	6.20	6.48	6.79	6.99	6.80	7.15	6.92	6.56	6.73	6.77
Tamil Nadu	7.18	7.49	7.48	7.87	7.95	8.08	7.19	7.40	6.84	5.88
All India	4.68	4.63	4.63	4.67	4.57	4.64	4.39	4.39	4.55	3.72

Measurement of Consumption Inequality of Cereals in Major States of India

_	
an	
ą	
2	
12	
<u></u>	
0	
2	
dia	
Ĕ	
of	
es	
at	
LS 1	
ē	
Ma	
A	
÷	6
ğ	Ī
Ĕ	1
Ei.	8
p	
n	
nsı	
ō	
at	
Je:	
M	
ta	
id	
Ű	
er	
ΥF	
h	
J	
ž	
Se	
Ň	
S	
la	
e O	
cil	
De	
4:1	
1	1
ble	đ
Tal	
-	1.1

	DCIM_CCDI	ואוסוונוווא רב	ו כמלווים או				משטו שומר		1 71-1107	
Major States					Decile	Class				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	2.74	3.18	2.15	3.18	3.17	3.34	3.02	3.51	4.37	4.26
Himachal Pradesh	5.68	5.34	5.09	5.70	6.17	6.74	6.22	5.42	6.47	5.07
Punjab	6.26	7.25	7.06	6.86	7.41	7.19	7.11	7.15	7.39	6.79
Uttarakhand	6.36	6.14	6.55	6.52	5.52	6.47	7.00	6.59	6.45	6.70
Haryana	7.54	7.74	7.48	6.89	7.09	7.30	7.43	7.45	7.05	6.00
Delhi	4.64	5.13	5.30	5.53	6.10	5.11	5.72	5.57	5.51	5.89
Rajasthan	8.53	8.98	9.52	9.08	9.55	8.81	8.58	9.23	8.66	8.68
Uttar Pradesh	5.94	6.25	6.75	6.90	6.93	6.41	6.58	6.94	6.26	6.49
Bihar	4.57	4.68	5.12	5.30	5.74	5.47	6.09	6.06	5.89	6.21
Assam	0.36	0.50	0.74	0.58	0.86	1.14	0.85	0.92	0.98	1.27
West Bengal	1.88	2.37	2.43	2.46	2.29	2.28	2.52	2.19	2.26	2.18
Jharkhand	2.93	3.61	4.24	4.14	4.92	4.75	4.58	5.35	5.35	4.74
Odisha	0.77	0.85	0.95	1.04	1.53	2.24	1.92	2.18	2.76	3.28
Chhattisgarh	1.37	0.89	1.79	1.12	2.27	1.92	2.15	2.78	3.92	3.18
Madhya Pradesh	6.74	7.09	8.01	8.24	8.22	8.57	8.30	8.13	8.21	7.01
Gujarat	4.28	4.48	5.38	4.78	5.30	4.93	4.88	5.21	5.60	5.00
Maharashtra	4.52	4.34	3.82	4.26	4.31	4.19	4.20	4.23	3.95	3.63
Andhra Pradesh	0.25	0.41	0.47	0.52	0.75	0.81	0.86	0.79	0.95	1.14
Karnataka	0.86	0.92	1.07	1.06	1.00	1.14	1.37	1.35	0.79	1.55
Kerala	0.54	0.69	0.74	0.78	0.74	0.84	0.94	1.02	1.17	1.29
Tamil Nadu	0.49	0.62	0.55	09.0	0.74	0.72	0.73	0.89	0.94	0.98
All India	4.19	4.24	4.15	4.03	3.97	4.09	3.94	3.96	3.66	3.88

Journal of Rural Development, Vol. 38, No. 1, January - March : 2019

20

. 5 -2 i 5 . . t t t 1 1 ť : k

lable 15: Decile Class	-wise Mon	thiy Per Ca	ipita Coars	se Grain Co	onsumptio	n (kg) in Al	ll Major St	ates of Indi	a: 2011-12	(Urban)
Major States					Decile	Class				
	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	0.03	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.08	0.13	0.06	0.06	0.07
Himachal Pradesh	0.18	0.15	0.09	0.11	0.13	0.07	0.10	0.03	0.18	0.13
Punjab	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.13	0.17
Uttarakhand	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05
Haryana	0.01	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.02
Delhi	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03
Rajasthan	0.40	0.28	0.20	0.20	0.39	0.21	0.32	0.27	0.43	0.21
Uttar Pradesh	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
Bihar	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01
Assam	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
West Bengal	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.10
Jharkhand	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.03
Odisha	0.03	0.08	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
Chhattisgarh	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01
Madhya Pradesh	0.31	0.12	0.14	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.01	0.05	0.03
Gujarat	1.03	0.76	0.81	0.86	0.68	0.75	0.61	0.47	09.0	0.42
Maharashtra	1.12	0.91	0.70	0.68	0.77	0.60	0.50	0.57	0.51	0.41
Andhra Pradesh	0.15	0.20	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.19	0.17	0.10	0.11	0.17
Karnataka	1.86	1.82	1.73	1.80	1.55	1.56	1.68	1.19	1.10	1.05
Kerala	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01
Tamil Nadu	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.08
All India	0.23	0.27	0.33	0.33	0.30	0.29	0.31	0.33	0.28	0.22

Measurement of Consumption Inequality of Cereals in Major States of India

21

real	
I Ce	
Tota	
(
PDS	
Чбr	
hrou	
ce (t	
of Rio	
ono	
npti	
มารณ	(lei
Sol	(Ru
ipita	1-12
er Ca	201
ly Pe	ion:
nth	mpt
f Mo	nsu
reo	S
Sha	
age	
cent	
Per	
vise	
ass-\	
e Cl	
Decil	
16: L	
ble	
Ta	

22

			Cons	umption: 2	2011-12 (R	ural)				
Major States					Decile	e Classes				
	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	33.75	37.39	42.07	40.90	39.01	37.51	35.95	37.68	31.78	20.41
Himachal Pradesh	21.02	17.21	18.41	19.26	17.91	16.83	18.78	17.32	15.79	14.62
Punjab	0.07	00.0	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.08	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
Uttarakhand	22.77	21.84	20.30	20.17	14.31	14.01	13.42	9.98	7.51	8.27
Haryana	0.07	0.58	0.06	0.02	00.0	0.09	0.41	00.0	0.00	0.00
Delhi	5.50	1.13	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.02	00.0	0.00	0.00
Rajasthan	0.01	0.05	0.06	0.00	00.0	0.01	0.04	0.27	0.00	0.00
Uttar Pradesh	15.84	11.21	8.89	6.35	8.65	6.81	5.67	4.70	6.24	4.15
Bihar	16.35	15.54	11.68	13.49	12.08	11.87	9.45	10.30	7.51	5.88
Assam	28.63	21.35	24.15	27.71	25.09	30.98	20.70	25.81	22.29	12.39
West Bengal	14.32	14.87	9.10	11.41	9.04	6.66	7.30	5.05	5.88	2.68
Jharkhand	37.16	26.60	20.21	22.12	12.26	11.47	15.58	15.60	14.56	7.16
Odisha	44.90	34.63	35.13	34.43	27.01	31.33	24.22	21.53	23.10	10.65
Chhattisgarh	43.11	42.87	41.46	49.71	41.32	34.86	29.98	34.32	25.37	14.31
Madhya Pradesh	11.84	7.76	5.85	5.73	3.86	1.98	3.39	1.20	0.82	1.20
Gujarat	7.18	5.65	3.61	5.47	6.33	1.96	3.84	3.24	1.75	1.39
Maharashtra	22.10	16.58	12.90	16.35	11.66	13.16	9.83	8.83	6.94	3.56
Andhra Pradesh	37.32	34.40	33.70	32.29	31.60	30.60	29.62	28.91	26.17	23.45
Karnataka	33.59	32.00	35.03	30.59	29.22	25.61	27.43	23.11	24.52	20.19
Kerala	46.11	37.51	40.04	40.97	32.40	29.37	28.02	28.61	26.44	19.08
Tamil Nadu	61.41	57.28	51.75	53.46	52.27	48.86	50.99	45.50	39.76	34.38
All India	21.83	17.19	15.86	14.51	14.87	14.71	15.11	14.53	13.25	11.72

Table 17: Decile Class-wise Percentage Share of Monthly Per Capita Consumption of Wheat (through PDS) to Total Cereal

			Const	umption: 2	2011-12 (R	ural)				
Major States					Decile	Classes				
	-	2	£	4	5	9	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	24.89	22.01	14.88	13.72	10.28	10.17	11.72	10.09	9.45	9.66
Himachal Pradesh	29.19	25.92	28.52	28.95	26.79	26.62	29.25	27.84	25.33	22.44
Punjab	32.46	23.62	17.83	15.65	14.73	7.35	6.49	5.94	2.89	2.47
Uttarakhand	19.34	18.91	16.72	14.48	12.71	11.65	11.33	13.49	11.33	10.81
Haryana	37.16	23.53	25.67	9.22	13.64	7.57	9.01	4.12	0.66	5.19
Delhi	23.38	4.08	0.00	28.95	0.00	0.00	0.08	00.0	0.00	0.00
Rajasthan	30.38	15.66	16.48	9.09	7.85	9.94	6.69	6.66	7.04	6.98
Uttar Pradesh	9.39	7.95	7.19	5.33	6.51	5.31	4.29	4.06	5.56	4.06
Bihar	11.96	10.87	8.70	9.51	8.59	9.10	7.17	7.86	6.17	4.84
Assam	0.35	0.49	0.39	0.35	0.42	0.42	0.23	0.45	0.20	0.24
West Bengal	7.31	6.42	4.67	5.27	5.51	5.65	5.85	4.68	5.06	3.99
Jharkhand	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.20	0.00	00.0	1.03	0.46
Odisha	0.28	0.23	0.82	0.23	1.44	0.91	0.96	1.85	2.09	3.84
Chhattisgarh	4.21	2.50	1.60	5.91	3.21	2.68	3.08	2.34	1.53	2.90
Madhya Pradesh	26.50	19.92	18.27	18.11	14.57	12.63	10.34	7.54	6.20	3.69
Gujarat	9.50	11.24	9.25	9.99	14.38	3.88	8.75	5.25	2.83	4.02
Maharashtra	19.63	17.69	16.76	17.54	15.36	14.71	13.36	11.71	8.14	5.41
Andhra Pradesh	0.39	0.28	0.49	0.34	0.30	0.23	0.36	0.29	0.20	0.41
Karnataka	5.79	4.96	6.05	5.19	5.06	4.55	4.62	3.66	4.57	3.31
Kerala	5.15	4.51	5.45	5.31	4.83	5.74	4.99	5.28	4.93	5.30
Tamil Nadu	5.35	5.08	4.26	4.08	4.53	4.46	4.92	5.40	4.53	4.53
All India	9.44	7.97	7.41	7.29	7.03	7.12	6.37	6.01	5.44	4.39

Measurement of Consumption Inequality of Cereals in Major States of India

t (through PDS)	
Rice and Wheat	
nsumption of Both	(lean) (1-1
hly Per Capita Co	onenmotion.201
je Share of Montl	Total Careal C
s-wise Percentag	
18: Decile Clas	
Table	

		to	Total Cere	al Consum	ption: 201	1-12 (Rura	(
Major States					Decile	Classes				
	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	58.65	59.40	56.95	54.63	49.29	47.68	47.67	47.78	41.23	30.07
Himachal Pradesh	50.21	43.13	46.93	48.21	44.70	43.45	48.03	45.15	41.12	37.05
Punjab	32.53	23.62	17.83	15.65	14.73	7.43	6.49	5.95	2.89	2.47
Uttarakhand	42.11	40.75	37.02	34.65	27.02	25.66	24.74	23.47	18.83	19.08
Haryana	37.22	24.11	25.74	9.24	13.64	7.66	9.42	4.12	0.66	5.19
Delhi	28.88	5.21	0.00	28.95	00.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rajasthan	30.40	15.72	16.55	9.09	7.85	9.95	6.72	6.93	7.05	6.99
Uttar Pradesh	25.23	19.16	16.08	11.67	15.16	12.12	9.96	8.76	11.80	8.21
Bihar	28.31	26.41	20.38	23.01	20.67	20.97	16.62	18.16	13.68	10.72
Assam	28.98	21.83	24.53	28.05	25.52	31.40	20.93	26.26	22.48	12.63
West Bengal	21.63	21.29	13.77	16.68	14.56	12.31	13.15	9.74	10.94	6.67
Jharkhand	37.16	26.60	20.23	22.12	12.27	11.67	15.58	15.60	15.59	7.62
Odisha	45.18	34.86	35.94	34.66	28.44	32.24	25.18	23.37	25.19	14.50
Chhattisgarh	47.33	45.37	43.06	55.63	44.53	37.54	33.06	36.66	26.90	17.21
Madhya Pradesh	38.34	27.68	24.12	23.84	18.43	14.61	13.73	8.74	7.01	4.89
Gujarat	16.68	16.89	12.86	15.46	20.71	5.84	12.60	8.49	4.58	5.40
Maharashtra	41.73	34.27	29.65	33.89	27.02	27.87	23.19	20.55	15.08	8.97
Andhra Pradesh	37.71	34.68	34.19	32.63	31.90	30.83	29.98	29.19	26.37	23.86
Karnataka	39.38	36.96	41.08	35.79	34.28	30.17	32.05	26.77	29.09	23.50
Kerala	51.27	42.01	45.49	46.28	37.23	35.11	33.01	33.89	31.38	24.37
Tamil Nadu	66.75	62.35	56.01	57.54	56.80	53.32	55.92	50.90	44.29	38.91
All India	31.27	25.16	23.27	21.80	21.90	21.83	21.48	20.54	18.69	16.11

Journal of Rural Development, Vol. 38, No. 1, January - March : 2019

24

of Dira (+hronich DDC) to Total Careal \$ -Ċ Canita C webly Dow 6 M 30 5 ò wire Table 10. Decile Cla

		מוכנווימעי	Consu	imption: 2	011-12 (Ur	ban)				
Major States					Decile	e Class				
	1	2	ю	4	5	9	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	51.80	43.56	57.22	42.65	48.50	39.66	40.63	32.76	24.70	20.07
Himachal Pradesh	14.90	17.02	15.37	9.25	6.34	10.75	12.35	7.66	3.68	5.70
Punjab	0.00	0.00	0.02	00.0	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00
Uttarakhand	11.98	12.34	9.62	7.84	5.07	4.09	2.35	2.89	1.54	1.02
Haryana	0.11	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00
Delhi	1.82	3.15	2.82	2.02	4.77	0.68	0.96	00.0	0.02	0.00
Rajasthan	0.49	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00
Uttar Pradesh	9.01	5.87	3.87	2.21	1.75	2.33	0.68	09.0	0.09	0.10
Bihar	12.38	8.53	6.63	8.04	5.07	5.58	4.49	1.34	0.84	2.49
Assam	24.92	21.85	11.12	6.73	12.96	12.89	0.91	7.15	4.85	8.91
West Bengal	13.36	8.31	6.35	4.21	1.54	4.92	1.16	1.53	0.06	0.18
Jharkhand	16.99	10.61	3.06	1.77	1.05	0.07	2.18	0.19	0.97	0.00
Odisha	31.70	24.87	25.02	14.73	7.94	4.71	9.12	3.63	1.77	1.24
Chhattisgarh	42.45	40.68	36.17	32.40	22.67	22.78	24.16	9.45	3.28	1.48
Madhya Pradesh	4.42	7.64	3.65	2.02	1.37	1.29	1.76	0.85	0.52	0.28
Gujarat	2.82	2.69	1.08	1.04	0.17	0.42	1.05	0.13	0.03	0.00
Maharashtra	10.91	4.33	3.43	2.28	1.17	1.15	0.59	0.35	0.31	0.04
Andhra Pradesh	34.42	33.88	28.95	27.54	25.49	19.05	13.84	11.08	4.99	3.77
Karnataka	32.98	31.28	26.72	21.68	16.58	17.08	7.70	7.05	00.0	3.08
Kerala	39.62	39.50	35.97	26.39	29.71	27.49	21.71	18.32	19.10	11.10
Tamil Nadu	58.97	53.22	46.77	43.32	43.66	39.61	34.28	27.95	22.66	17.03
All India	16.10	13.71	13.20	11.84	11.93	9.96	8.16	6.12	4.98	2.85

Measurement of Consumption Inequality of Cereals in Major States of India

25

eal	
Cer	
otal	
P O	
S) I	
٦PC	
lgu	
thro	
at (I	
Vhe	
of V	
on	
npti	-
sun	han
Son	111
ita	11
Cap	011
Per	0.5
hly	otio
ont	-
μ	200
reo	C
Sha	
age	
enta	
erc	
seF	
s-wi	
.las	
ile (
Dec	
20:1	
ble	
a	

)	Const	umption: 2	011-12 (Ui	rban))		
Major States					Decil	e Class				
	1	2	ŝ	4	5	9	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	18.92	15.94	10.36	11.93	13.38	12.84	11.39	15.75	20.43	11.81
Himachal Pradesh	21.80	30.72	28.42	24.63	14.58	19.49	25.21	12.22	10.94	14.95
Punjab	13.54	12.17	8.14	4.07	4.41	1.89	0.22	0.76	0.43	1.35
Uttarakhand	8.85	15.86	11.25	8.88	5.20	6.16	5.43	7.96	6.74	4.67
Haryana	28.25	12.56	15.67	9.84	7.80	2.79	2.79	0.07	1.02	0.31
Delhi	11.12	11.38	9.35	10.56	16.46	1.43	0.74	1.27	0.29	0.01
Rajasthan	18.64	12.24	9.29	7.82	6.34	5.11	7.61	2.88	2.12	1.16
Uttar Pradesh	10.97	8.70	5.82	6.09	6.47	4.92	2.81	1.70	0.82	0.14
Bihar	8.12	5.54	4.79	5.34	3.34	3.89	3.23	1.33	1.25	2.36
Assam	0.00	0.02	0.22	0.01	0.17	0.09	0.12	0.07	0.16	0.02
West Bengal	8.16	7.41	4.41	4.66	6.80	5.33	2.38	2.05	1.81	0.53
Jharkhand	0.07	0.14	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.24	0.02	00.0	1.98	0.00
Odisha	3.77	1.03	3.36	3.75	3.03	5.13	3.35	1.52	4.60	1.71
Chhattisgarh	11.72	4.11	8.20	4.09	6.19	5.64	6.17	2.95	2.28	0.60
Madhya Pradesh	19.74	21.28	17.55	15.12	10.17	11.00	10.37	3.94	3.10	2.54
Gujarat	4.52	5.73	3.67	2.58	1.97	1.40	0.89	0.86	0.63	0.15
Maharashtra	16.31	6.53	5.01	3.84	3.28	2.35	1.18	0.82	0.92	0.25
Andhra Pradesh	0.39	0.54	0.32	1.19	0.18	0:30	0.56	1.23	0.18	0.18
Karnataka	5.52	5.23	4.21	3.86	2.99	2.65	2.04	0.99	0.00	0.49
Kerala	4.80	5.29	5.96	5.04	4.69	4.61	4.31	4.17	4.24	2.47
Tamil Nadu	5.46	6.59	5.72	5.84	7.00	6.06	5.25	4.60	3.93	3.50
All India	9.48	7.57	6.42	5.06	5.07	4.00	2.95	1.98	1.68	1.06

Journal of Rural Development, Vol. 38, No. 1, January - March : 2019

26

Table 21: Decile Class-wise Percentage Share of Monthly Per Capita Consumption of Both Rice and Wheat (through PDS)

Г

	- 1 - CIM-CCB	to	Total Cere	al Consum	ption: 201	1-12 (Urba	n)			100
Major States					Decil	e Class				
	-	2	m	4	ß	9	7	8	6	10
Jammu & Kashmir	70.72	59.50	67.58	54.58	61.88	52.50	52.01	48.51	45.13	31.88
Himachal Pradesh	36.71	47.74	43.78	33.88	20.91	30.25	37.57	19.89	14.62	20.65
Punjab	13.54	12.17	8.16	4.07	4.41	2.16	0.22	0.76	0.43	1.35
Uttarakhand	20.83	28.20	20.87	16.72	10.27	10.24	7.78	10.85	8.29	5.69
Haryana	28.36	12.56	15.67	9.84	7.80	2.83	2.79	0.07	1.02	0.31
Delhi	12.94	14.52	12.16	12.58	21.23	2.11	1.71	1.27	0.32	0.01
Rajasthan	19.14	12.26	9.29	7.82	6.34	5.43	7.61	2.88	2.12	1.16
Uttar Pradesh	19.98	14.57	9.70	8.30	8.22	7.24	3.49	2.30	06.0	0.24
Bihar	20.50	14.07	11.42	13.38	8.41	9.48	7.73	2.66	2.08	4.84
Assam	24.92	21.87	11.34	6.74	13.13	12.98	1.03	7.22	5.00	8.93
West Bengal	21.52	15.72	10.76	8.87	8.34	10.25	3.54	3.58	1.87	0.71
Jharkhand	17.06	10.75	3.06	1.77	1.05	0.31	2.20	0.19	2.96	0.00
Odisha	35.47	25.90	28.38	18.48	10.97	9.84	12.47	5.15	6.37	2.95
Chhattisgarh	54.17	44.79	44.37	36.49	28.85	28.42	30.32	12.41	5.56	2.08
Madhya Pradesh	24.17	28.92	21.20	17.14	11.54	12.29	12.12	4.79	3.62	2.82
Gujarat	7.34	8.43	4.75	3.63	2.14	1.82	1.94	0.99	0.66	0.15
Maharashtra	27.22	10.86	8.44	6.13	4.44	3.50	1.77	1.17	1.23	0.29
Andhra Pradesh	34.80	34.42	29.27	28.73	25.67	19.34	14.40	12.31	5.17	3.94
Karnataka	38.50	36.51	30.94	25.54	19.56	19.74	9.74	8.04	0.00	3.57
Kerala	44.42	44.79	41.94	31.44	34.40	32.10	26.01	22.48	23.33	13.57
Tamil Nadu	64.43	59.80	52.49	49.16	50.66	45.67	39.53	32.55	26.58	20.53
All India	25.58	21.28	19.62	16.89	17.00	13.96	11.11	8.10	6.66	3.92

Measurement of Consumption Inequality of Cereals in Major States of India

27

Т

Notes

- 1. As per the NSSO, the Monthly Per Capita Expenditure is defined as 'household monthly consumer expenditure ÷ household size.' In this paper, household monthly consumer expenditure has been obtained by using the Modified Mixed Recall Period, in which expenditure on non-food items is recorded for the reference period of 'last 365 days' and expenditure on some of food items is recorded for the reference period of 'last 30 days' and expenditure on the rest of food items is recorded for the reference period of 'last 30 days' and expenditure on the rest of food items is recorded for the reference period of 'last 7 days'.
- 2. As per the NSSO, household means a group of persons normally living together and taking food from a common kitchen.
- Though the survey was conducted during the year 2011-12, the selection of first stage units (villages) took place earlier, when results of the 2011 Population Census were not available. Hence, 2001 Population Census data have been used for sample selection.
- 4. Sampling Design: The sampling frame for the rural sector was 2001 census villages and urban frame survey blocks (2007-12) for urban sector.

Stratification: Within each district of a State/UT, generally speaking, two basic strata were formed: i) rural stratum comprising all rural areas of the district and (ii) urban stratum comprising all the urban areas of the district. However, within the urban areas of a district, if there were one or more towns with population of 10 lakh or more as per population census 2001, each of them form a separate basic stratum and the remaining urban areas of the district were considered as another basic stratum.

Sub-stratification (Rural sector): If 'r' be the sample size allocated for a rural stratum, the number of sub-strata formed was'r/4'. The villages within a district as per frame were first arranged in ascending order of population. Then sub-strata 1 to 'r/4' was demarcated in such a way that each sub-stratum will comprise a group of villages of the arranged frame and have more or less equal population.

Sub-stratification (Urban sector): If 'u' be the sample size for an urban stratum, 'u/4' number of substrata was formed. In case u/4 is more than 1, implying formation of 2 or more sub-strata, this was done by first arranging the towns in ascending order of total number of households in the town as per UFS phase 2007-12 and then arranging the IV units of each town and blocks within each IV unit in ascending order of their numbers. From this arranged frame of UFS blocks of all the towns/million plus city of a stratum,'u/4' number of sub-strata was formed in such a way that each sub-stratum will have more or less equal number of households as per UFS 2007-12.

Selection of FSUs: For the rural sector, from each stratum/sub-stratum, required number of sample villages was selected by probability proportional to size with replacement (PPSWR), size being the population of the village as per Census 2001. For the urban sector, UFS 2007-12 phases were used for all towns and cities and from each stratum/sub-stratum FSUs were selected by using Simple Random

Sampling without Replacement (SRSWOR). Both rural and urban samples were to be drawn in the form of two independent sub-samples and equal numbers of samples were allocated among the four sub-rounds.

The sample households were selected by SRSWOR on both the rural and urban areas.

5. Major States: This refers to the 21 States of India which had a population of 50 lakh or more according to the Census of 2011. The States are: Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Delhi, Gujarat, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu & Kashmir, Jharkhand, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Odisha, Punjab, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttarakhand, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal.

References

Atkinson, Antony B., (1998), Poverty in Europe, Oxford University Press, Blackwell.

Government of India (2011-12), Unit Level Data of Consumer Expenditure Survey, Schedule 1.0, Type 2, National Sample Survey Office, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation.

Hassett Kevin A., Mathur Aparna (2012), A New Measure of Consumption Inequality, AEI Economic Studies, American Enterprise Institute.

Government of India (2013), Key Indicator of the Household Consumption Expenditure, July 2011 to June 2012, National Sample Survey Office, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation.

Roy, Satyaki (2011), Trends and Patterns in Consumption Expenditure: A Review of Class and Rural-Urban Disparities, Institute for Studies in Industrial Development.

Vaidyanathan A. (1985), Food Consumption and Size of People: Some Indian Evidence, *Economic & Political Weekly*, 20 (30), 79-84.

Reddy, Amarender A. (2004), Consumption Pattern, Trade and Production Potential of Pulses, *Economic & Political Weekly*, 39 (44), 4854-4860.

Pavithra B.S., Basavaraja H., Kiresur V.R., Mahajanshetty S.B., Mageri S.N. (2009), An Economic Analysis of Food Consumption Pattern in Karnataka, *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 22 (4), 840-845.